MDR: M4-02-2232-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

I. DISPUTE

- 1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement of \$15,900.00 for dates of service 02/27/01 through 04/27/01.
 - b. The request was received on 02/12/02.

II. EXHIBITS

- 1. Requestor, Exhibit I:
 - a. TWCC 60 and Letter Requesting Dispute Resolution dated 04/16/02
 - b. HCFA(s)
 - c. TWCC 62 forms
 - d. Medical Records
 - e. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 2. Respondent, Exhibit II:
 - a. TWCC 60 and/or Response to a Request for Dispute Resolution dated 05/03/02
 - b. EOBs
 - c. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 3. Per Rule 133.307 (g) (3), the Division forwarded a copy of the requestor's 14 day response to the insurance carrier on <u>04/23/02</u>. Per Rule 133.307 (g) (4) or (5), the carrier representative signed for the copy on <u>04/23/02</u>. The response from the insurance carrier was received in the Division on <u>05/03/02</u>. Based on 133.307 (i) the insurance carrier's response is timely.
- 4. Notice of Medical Dispute is reflected as Exhibit III of the Commission's case file.

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

- 1. Requestor:
 - a. "(Provider's) position is that the fees paid for these services by the carrier were not 'fair and reasonable.'... Attachment #1 contains some examples of claims paid at our billed rate by other insurance companies during the same period of time. The claims are credible evidence of our billed rate is 'fair and reasonable."

MDR: M4-02-2232-01

2. Respondent:

a. "Documentation submitted indicates that our rate of \$125 (less 20% for a non-CARF accredited facility) is more than fair and reasonable as required by TWCC Guidelines. While we realize that the use of codes with no assigned MAR is necessary, they should not be used as an opportunity for excessive billing and we respectfully request that the TWCC intervene in a manner consistent with a policy of ensuring 'the quality of medical care **and to achieve effective medical cost control.**"

IV. FINDINGS

- 1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307(d) (1) (2), the only dates of service eligible for review are those commencing on 02/27/01 extending through 04/27/01.
- 2. The carrier's EOB denial submitted is "F-THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE OR USUAL AND CUSTOMARY VALUES AS ESTABLISHED BY INGENIX."
- 3. The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale:

DOS	CPT or Revenue CODE	BILLED	PAID	EOB Denial Code(s)	MAR\$	REFERENCE	RATIONALE:
02/27/01	97799-	\$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F	DOP	TWCC Act &	The annual dente of included in their discusts
02/28/01	CP-AP	(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F	\$175.00 (per hour)	Rules	The provider has included in their dispute packet, documentation (EOBs from other
03/0101		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F	(per nour)	Sec. 413.011 (d), Rules	carriers) that provides some evidence of "fair and reasonable" reimbursement per
03/02/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		133.304 (i) & 133.305 (i)	Sec. 413.011 (d). The provider is a CARF
03/05/01		(8.0 units) \$1,050.00	\$750.00	F		MFG;MGR (II)(C)(G)	accredited facility, therefore the \$175.00 per/hr billed will not reduced according
03/06/01		(6.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			to the Fee Guidelines. The provider billed in accordance with
03/07/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			the referenced Rule and medical
03/08/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			documentation indicates that the services were rendered.
03/09/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			Regardless of the carrier's lack of
03/12/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			methodology and response, the burden
03/13/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			remains on the provider to show that the amount of reimbursement requested is
03/14/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			fair and reasonable. The provider has not submitted any evidence or a methodology
03/16/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			they used to determine fair and
03/19/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			reasonable. In light of recent SOAH decisions, where providers had submitted
03/20/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			EOBs for fair and reasonable, SOAH has placed minimal value on EOBs for
03/21/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			documenting fair and reasonable. The
03/22/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			willingness of some carriers to reimburse at or near the billed amount is fair and
03/23/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00	\$1,000.00	F			reasonable and does not show how effective medical cost control is
03/26/01		(8.0 units) \$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			achieved, a criteria identified in Sec.
03/27/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			413.011 (d) of the Texas Labor Code. Therefore, additional reimbursement is
03/28/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			not recommended.
03/29/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
03/30/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/02/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/03/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/04/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/05/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/06/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/09/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/10/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/16/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/17/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/18/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/19/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			
04/20/01		\$1,400.00 (8.0 units)	\$1,000.00	F			

MDR: M4-02-2232-01

04/23/01	\$1,	,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		
		0 units)				
04/24/01	. ,	,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		
		0 units)				
04/25/01	. ,	,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		
		0 units)				
04/26/01	\$1,	,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		
	(8.0	0 units)				
04/27/01	\$1,	,400.00	\$1,000.00	F		
	(8.0	0 units)				
Totals	\$55	5,650.00	\$39,750.00		_	The Requestor is not entitled to additional
						reimbursement.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 21st day of June, 2002.

Michael Bucklin, LVN Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

MB/mb

This document is signed under the authority delegated to me by Richard Reynolds, Executive Director, pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Texas Labor Code Sections 402.041 - 402.042 and re-delegated by Virginia May, Deputy Executive Director.